

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/841,132	04/23/2001	Ajay Bhatia	210121.469C8	5589
500	7590 11/05/2003		EXAMINER	
SEED INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW GROUP PLLC			LI, QIAN JANICE	
701 FIFTH A	VE			
SUITE 6300			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SEATTLE, WA 98104-7092			1632	
			DATE MAN ED 11/05/2007	_

DATE MAILED: 11/05/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/841,132	BHATIA ET AL.				
navious nousin	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Q. Janice Li	1632				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 13 October 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this application and indication and a second an application and application application and application and application and application and application and	ation. A proper reply to a				
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 5 months from the mailing date						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the control of timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (3) the expiration date of the control o	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe date on which the petition under 37 CFI fextension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the						
issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti	ion(s):					
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed amendment				
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(explanation of how the new or amended claims wo						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>19-23</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a	a) approved or b) disappr	oved by the Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	, -	·				
10. Other:		 -				
	ANNE M. WEHBE' PH.D PRIMARY EXAMINER					
	$f(\cdot)$					

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No. 009/841,132

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The newly submitted claims 24 and 25 include new limitations, which would require further search and consideration.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicants argue that the amended claims are drawn to a method for stimulating human T cells, the cited art WO 99/17741 is not enabled for such purpose. In response, the arguments are most because the amendment has not been entered. Further, the claimed invention has the same method step as the cited art, thus applicants have not provide a new method that would enable a previously not enabled method if the method was not enabled.